## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

SEA SALT, LLC,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	2:18-cv-00413-JAW
MATTHEW R. BELLEROSE, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

## ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Plaintiff moves for an award of attorney's fees against a defaulted defendant.

The Court grants a portion of Plaintiff's motion and reserves ruling on a further portion pending additional explanation.

## I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The procedural history for this action is described through August 8, 2019, in the Court's August 27, 2019, Decision and Order, and familiarity with that Decision and Order is assumed. *Decision and Order* at 1-5 (ECF No. 86). That decision and order granted Sea Salt, LLC's (Sea Salt) Motion for Entry of Default Judgment, *Mot. for Entry of Default J.* (ECF No. 41), and directed the clerk of court to enter final judgment for Sea Salt and against East End Transport, LLC (East End) in the amount of \$4,500,000. *Decision and Order* at 9. The Order stated that it would be "subject to a further order and judgment on attorney's fees," and ordered Sea Salt to "file an amended application for attorney's fees by August 29, 2019." *Id.* On August

28, 2019, the clerk of court entered default judgment for Sea Salt and against East End. *Default J.* (ECF No. 87).

On August 29, 2019, Sea Salt filed a motion for attorney's fees, *Pl.'s Mot. for Att'y's Fees* (ECF No. 88), along with a supplemental fee affidavit, *id.*, Attach. 1, Suppl. Fee Aff. of Laura H. White, and a fee entry list, *id.*, Attach. 2, Fee Entry List by Client.

## II. ANALYSIS

Attorney's fees are available to Sea Salt in this action because one of the claims defaulted by East End was brought under 18 U.S.C. § 1962, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). Notice of Removal, Attach. 2, First Amended Compl. ¶¶ 178-80 (ECF No. 1). Attorney's fees are available to successful plaintiffs in RICO actions. § 1964(c). At the hearing on damages that took place August 8, 2019, the Court informed counsel for Sea Salt of three potential issues with Sea Salt's request for attorney's fees. First, the Court pointed out that some of the hourly rates listed by counsel for Sea Salt in its Fee Affidavit, Pl.'s Mem. in Support of Default J., Attach. 2, Fee Aff. of Laura H. White (ECF No. 69-2), were higher than the rates authorized by the Court in TD Bank, N.A. v. Estate of Woodman, No. 17-cv-00163-JAW, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 103940 (D. Me. June 21, 2019). Second, the Court requested an itemized list of billing entries to assure itself that the amount being billed did not reflect time spent pursuing defendants other than East End. Third, the Court noted that East End should not be charged for billing entries related to the August 8, 2019 hearing, which was necessitated by counsel for Sea Salt's inadvertent failure to give notice to East End of the June 17, 2019, hearing.<sup>1</sup> After reviewing Sea Salt's Supplemental Fee Affidavit, the Court is satisfied that its first and third concerns have been rectified but requests further clarification with regard to its second concern.<sup>2</sup>

The Court grants the below list of billing entries, totaling \$22,330.88, without further revision. *Fee Entry List by Client*.

		Hrs to	Modified	
Date	Tmkr	Bill	Amount	Description
				detailed review of UPS
				shipping records compare excel
				spreadsheet and client
7/20/2018	5	2.7	708.75	documents, email client
				continue detail review of
				weekly invoice, begin drafting
				Breau Affidavit, emails and tc
7/22/2018	5	3.4	892.5	with client
				continue drafting Breau
				affidavit and related document
7/23/2018	5	1.8	472.5	review
				review one drive documents,
				create table of losses, review
				recording, emails with client re
7/24/2018	5	2.9	761.25	same
				cont. breau affidavit. Begin
				McEwen affidavit, email
7/25/2018	5	1.2	315	clients re claims in complaint

Sea Salt did include two entries related to the August 8, 2019, hearing in its Supplemental Fee Affidavit: 1) a June 21, 2019, entry stating, "Draft and file Motion to Continue Damages Hearing; research and office work re: same."; 2) a June 21, 2019, entry stating, "Telephone conference with court reporter re transcript of damages hearing." *Fee Entry List by Client*. Except for these entries, the Court is satisfied that Sea Salt has not attempted to bill East End for the August 8, 2019, hearing.

Sea Salt included one entry from July 1, 2019, that was for "proofing 7/1 filing." *Fee Entry List by Client*. Proofreading is typically a clerical task and the First Circuit has stated that "clerical or secretarial tasks ought not to be billed at lawyers' rates, even if a lawyer performs them." *Lipsett v. Blanco*, 975 F.2d 934, 940 (1st Cir. 1992). As the entry is framed as the performance of a purely secretarial task, the Court has not approved this entry.

		Hrs to	Modified	
Date	Tmkr	Bill	Amount	Description
				review transcription of
				recording. Compile documents
				for Breau Affidavit Exhibits,
				attention to litigation strategy,
				begin highbarger and McKeller
7/26/2018	5	2.3	603.75	Affidavits
				research re fiduciary duty,
<b>=</b> /20/2010	_		202 ==	Uniform Deceptive Trade
7/29/2018	5	1.5	393.75	Practices Act claim
				review affidavits, draft motion
_ , , ,				for attachment, brief legal
8/1/2018	27	6.1	1921.5	research
				Correspondence with attorney
				Rachin re filing and review of
				all affidavit attachments,
				review and revise final
				complaint and motion for
8/2/2018	5	1.6	420	attachment
				finalize all affidavits, review
				and revise complaint, motion
				for attachment, revise
				complaint, exchange emails
8/2/2018	27	6.2	1953	with and TC with Breau
				TC with York County clerk's
				office, draft proposed order and
				email clerk re: granting of
				order of attachment. Office
8/3/2018	5	1.8	472.5	work re: recording same
				Extensive telephone call with
				Clerk's office re entry of ex
				parte order TC and emals with
0/0/0010	_	1 17	440.0	clients, Begin process of
8/6/2018	5	1.7	446.25	serving trustee summonses
				TC with various banks re
				trustee summonses.
0/0/2012	_	0.0	010	Correspondence with client re
8/8/2018	5	0.8	210	same

		Hrs to	Modified	
Date	Tmkr	Bill	Amount	Description
				telephone and email
				correspondence with client,
				email from opposing counsel,
				continued monitoring of
8/9/2018	5	1.4	367.5	trustee summonses
				office work re additional
				trustee summonses and UCC
8/10/2018	5	0.5	131.25	filing
				email correspondence and
				office work re: additional
				receipt of trustee summonses.
				TC and emails with opposing
8/13/2018	5	0.6	157.5	counsel
0/10/2010	0	0.0	101.0	Emails with Clerk and office
9/10/2018	5	0.6	157.5	work re: trustee summonses.
3/10/2018	J	0.0	107.0	Continue drafting and
				research re: amended
9/27/2018	5	1.6	420	complaint.
0.21.2010		1.0	120	Telephone conference with
				client. Research re: claims and
10/3/2018	5	2.6	682.5	finalize amended complaint.
				Office work re: removal to
10/10/2018	5	1.2	315	federal court.
				UCC search for East End
3/6/2019	37	0.4	73.5	Transport LLC
				motion for default judgment
4/4/2019	37	1.2	220.5	against East End
				Draft and file motion for
				default judgment and related
4/5/2019	5	1.7	446.25	affidavit. Related office work.
				Attention to amending motion
4/9/2019	5	0.6	157.5	for default/entry of default.
				email correspondence re
4/9/2019	37	0.2	36.75	motion for entry of default
				Telephone conference with
				clerk re motion for default,
				motion for entry of default and
4/10/0010	0.5	_	100 ==	affidavit in support of Motion
4/12/2019	37	1	183.75	of Entry of Default
4/12/2019	37	0.3	55.125	entry of appearance

		Hrs to	Modified	
Date	Tmkr	Bill	Amount	Description
				Draft motion for entry of
				default and affidavit; related
4/17/2019	5	0.9	236.25	office work.
				Correspondence with Clerk
5/8/2019	5	0.3	78.75	and client re: hearing date.
				interoffice work to prepare for
6/11/2019	37	3	551.25	hearing on damages
				meeting in office with
				clients/preparation for
6/11/2019	37	1.8	330.75	damages hearing
				Continued hearing
6/13/2019	5	1.4	367.5	preparation.
				pulling and organizing trial
6/13/2019	37	0.8	147	exhibits for damages
				Email clerk re: hearing.
				Prepare notebooks and
				exhibits for hearing. Related
6/14/2019	5	4.7	1233.75	correspondence with client.
6/14/2019	27	1	315	Preparation for hearing.
				assist Atty White with hearing
				prep (East End Transport
6/14/2019	35	2	199.5	damages hearing)
				research re reach of invocation
6/14/2019	37	0.3	55.125	of 5th in civil proceedings
6/14/2019	37	1	183.75	prep documents for trial binder
				research and preparation for
6/14/2019	37	1.5	275.625	damages, punis and treble
				document preparation for
6/15/2019	37	1.5	275.625	damages hearing
				reviewing documents,
				preparing outline for McEwen
6/15/2019	37	1.5	275.625	testimony
				Extensive review of exhibits
				and preparation for hearing;
0/10/0010	_	10 1	2500	outline of direct examination
6/16/2019	5	10.4	2730	and emails to client re: same.
				research redaction
0/10/0010	0.7	0.4	70 F	requirement under FRCP and
6/16/2019	37	0.4	73.5	redacted exhibits

		Hrs to	Modified	
Date	Tmkr	Bill	Amount	Description
				research into 5th amendment
				waiver after defendant opens
				the door with testimony about
6/16/2019	37	0.6	110.25	non collateral matters
				draft motion re punitive, treble
6/28/2019	37	3	551.25	and atty fees
				Draft proposed judgment;
6/30/2019	5	3.8	997.5	research re: same.
				email correspondence with
				LHW re proposed order and
7/1/2019	37	0.2	36.75	motion for punitive damages
				research re joint and several
7/1/2019	37	1.5	275.625	liability.
7/1/2019	37	0.3	55.125	lodestar research
		TOTAL:	\$22, 330.875	

The Court does not have sufficient information to rule on the remaining entries. Many of the billing entries do not make explicit how they relate to East End; other individual billing entries contain multiple tasks, and it is not clear on the face of those entries how much time was spent on tasks related specifically to East End. See Estate of Woodman, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 103940, at \*6-7 ("The Court reviewed the hours billed by Plaintiff's counsel for legal services outside the flat fee agreement and finds that TD Bank has not demonstrated a sufficient demarcation between legal services relating to the foreclosure and those relating to the partition action . . .. Although the work performed appears to relate in part to the foreclosure action, at least part of the entry reflects research on bringing a partition action in Maine, an issue unrelated to the foreclosure claim. Because the tasks were grouped under a single time entry, rather than being properly divided between partition-related and

foreclosure-related tasks, the Court cannot award a fee based on these hours in the absence of an amended bill properly delineating between tasks for each claim").

Accordingly, Sea Salt is instructed to file a second amended application for attorney's fees laying out:

- 1. A brief explanation of the connection between the work done on each remaining billing entry and East End. Where, upon request of the Court, Sea Salt would not be able to provide the Court with records or evidence to authenticate that connection for a particular entry, that entry should be left out of the affidavit.
- 2. Where billing entries contain multiple tasks, a brief explanation of which relate to East End. The requested fees associated with each entry should only reflect work done to pursue claims against East End. Sea Salt may recover from East End fees for work performed in pursuit of claims against all or a subset of defendants equally so long as the tasks cannot be disaggregated.
  To illustrate, if a timekeeper for Sea Salt spent five hours preparing summonses for

each of the defendants, Sea Salt may not recover fees from East End for all five of those hours, but only for the time spent preparing the summons specifically for East End. Alternatively, if a timekeeper spent five hours working on the amended complaint filed in this case against all the defendants, Sea Salt may recover fees for all that time from East End.

Additionally, the Court requests that Sea Salt send a copy of any Microsoft

Excel files that it subsequently files with the Court in connection with this application

for attorney's fees to the clerk of court in Excel format.

III. CONCLUSION

The Court GRANTS in part Sea Salt, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees (ECF

No. 88) for billing entries listed above totaling twenty-two thousand three hundred

thirty dollars and eighty-eight cents, and DEFERS in part on the remainder of the

billing entries submitted by Sea Salt, LLC pending the Court's review of a second

amended application for attorney's fees.

The Court ORDERS Sea Salt, LLC to file a supplemental application for

attorney's fees by October 17, 2019.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ John A. Woodcock, Jr.

JOHN A. WOODCOCK, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 3rd day of October, 2019

9